

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,325 06/02/2005		Michihiro Ohno	HIR-05-1144 3199		
35811	7590 02/01/2006		EXAMINER		
IP GROUP	OF DLA PIPER RUD	HABTE, KAHSAY			
1650 MARKET ST SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PHIA, PA 19103	1624			

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)			Application	No.	Applicant(s)	*			
			10/537,325	'	OHNO ET AL.				
Offic	ce Action Summary	Γ	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Kahsay Hab		1624				
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Respons	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)☐ This act		2b) This a		n-final.					
3) Since th	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s)) <u>1-11 and 17</u> is/are pending	g in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· <u></u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	1-11 and 17 is/are rejected	.t							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	juirement.					
Application Pape	irs .								
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	•						
10) ☐ The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are	∷ a)□ accep	pted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
• •	t may not request that any obje		• • •	•	` '				
-	ment drawing sheet(s) including	_	•	• • • •					
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Refere	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (F	DTO 048\	4	i) X Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Information Disc	dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			i) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date <u>6/2/2005</u> .		6	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/537,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-11 and 17 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 06/02/2005 has been acknowledged. Please refer to Applicant's copies of the 1449 submitted herewith.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation of the term "general" is improper. A formula should be specific and not general. Applicants have to delete "general" from claim 2 (line 2).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number: 10/537,325

Art Unit: 1624

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-11 and 17 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 5-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,407,096. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is significant overlap between the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,407,096 and the claims of the instant application. The overlap arises when formula (II) in claim 1 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,407,096 has the following substituents: $A^3 = \text{alkylene, alkenylene or alkynylene; } R^1 = X-(CH_2)n-COOR^5 \text{ (equivalent to } R^4 \text{ in formula (II) of the instant application); } R^3 = \text{hydrogen, halogen, alkyl or alkoxy; } A^1 = O; m$

Application/Control Number: 10/537,325 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

= 1; $A^2 = N-CH_2$ -; $A^4 = NR^5CO$; $R^2 =$ phenyl, naphthyl or alkyl (equivalent to R^1 in formula (I) of the instant application).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH January 30, 2006